

## Metacognitive Reading Strategies

### Setting goals

Today I most want to answer the question \_\_\_\_.  
I'll read this in order to \_\_\_\_.

### Predicting

In the next part I think \_\_\_\_.  
I predicted correctly/incorrectly because \_\_\_\_.

### Visualizing

I picture in my mind \_\_\_\_.  
I can organize the information by \_\_\_\_.  
In their situation, I would \_\_\_\_.  
If I did that, I think \_\_\_\_ might happen.

### Questioning

I have the question \_\_\_\_.  
I wonder about \_\_\_\_.  
Do they really mean \_\_\_\_?

### Checking accuracy and logic

Does the text cite sources for its claims?  
Do sources say what the text claims?  
How reliable do these sources seem?  
Do the facts match my experience?  
Does this argument make logical sense?  
Any counterexamples to their claims?

### Making connections and inferring

This reminds me of \_\_\_\_.  
Though not explicit, maybe the text says \_\_\_\_.  
I think \_\_\_\_ connects these ideas.  
I didn't expect \_\_\_\_ because the text \_\_\_\_.  
I can/can't relate to this because \_\_\_\_.  
If \_\_\_\_ read this, they would say \_\_\_\_.  
In the historical context, this meant \_\_\_\_.  
This made a big difference because \_\_\_\_.  
This shapes the world today because \_\_\_\_.

### Recognizing and fixing a problem

I got confused when \_\_\_\_.  
Maybe I don't quite understand \_\_\_\_.  
I'll reread the part where \_\_\_\_.  
I'll keep reading and check back on this.  
Reading this way hasn't worked, so I'll \_\_\_\_.

### Summarizing

To this point, the text offers the big idea \_\_\_\_.  
So this passage means \_\_\_\_.

### Arguing with the ideas

I agree/disagree with this part because \_\_\_\_.  
These ideas support the interests/power of \_\_\_\_.  
They left out \_\_\_\_ voices because \_\_\_\_.

### Evaluating the writing itself

This writing works well/badly because \_\_\_\_.  
The writer does \_\_\_\_; I'd like to try that in my own writing by \_\_\_\_.  
If I rewrote this passage, I'd write \_\_\_\_.

Mostly adapted from "Do-it-yourself strategies for revolutionary study groups", Mamos Rotnelli, *Perspectives on Anarchist Theory*, no. 27, 2014, pages 55-73.

<<http://anarchiststudies.org/2015/11/22/perspectives-n-27/>>

version 20160314

## Metacognitive Reading Strategies

### Setting goals

Today I most want to answer the question \_\_\_\_.  
I'll read this in order to \_\_\_\_.

### Predicting

In the next part I think \_\_\_\_.  
I predicted correctly/incorrectly because \_\_\_\_.

### Visualizing

I picture in my mind \_\_\_\_.  
I can organize the information by \_\_\_\_.  
In their situation, I would \_\_\_\_.  
If I did that, I think \_\_\_\_ might happen.

### Questioning

I have the question \_\_\_\_.  
I wonder about \_\_\_\_.  
Do they really mean \_\_\_\_?

### Checking accuracy and logic

Does the text cite sources for its claims?  
Do sources say what the text claims?  
How reliable do these sources seem?  
Do the facts match my experience?  
Does this argument make logical sense?  
Any counterexamples to their claims?

### Making connections and inferring

This reminds me of \_\_\_\_.  
Though not explicit, maybe the text says \_\_\_\_.  
I think \_\_\_\_ connects these ideas.  
I didn't expect \_\_\_\_ because the text \_\_\_\_.  
I can/can't relate to this because \_\_\_\_.  
If \_\_\_\_ read this, they would say \_\_\_\_.  
In the historical context, this meant \_\_\_\_.  
This made a big difference because \_\_\_\_.  
This shapes the world today because \_\_\_\_.

### Recognizing and fixing a problem

I got confused when \_\_\_\_.  
Maybe I don't quite understand \_\_\_\_.  
I'll reread the part where \_\_\_\_.  
I'll keep reading and check back on this.  
Reading this way hasn't worked, so I'll \_\_\_\_.

### Summarizing

To this point, the text offers the big idea \_\_\_\_.  
So this passage means \_\_\_\_.

### Arguing with the ideas

I agree/disagree with this part because \_\_\_\_.  
These ideas support the interests/power of \_\_\_\_.  
They left out \_\_\_\_ voices because \_\_\_\_.

### Evaluating the writing itself

This writing works well/badly because \_\_\_\_.  
The writer does \_\_\_\_; I'd like to try that in my own writing by \_\_\_\_.  
If I rewrote this passage, I'd write \_\_\_\_.

Mostly adapted from "Do-it-yourself strategies for revolutionary study groups", Mamos Rotnelli, *Perspectives on Anarchist Theory*, no. 27, 2014, pages 55-73.

<<http://anarchiststudies.org/2015/11/22/perspectives-n-27/>>

version 20160314